

CANADIAN DENTAL REGULATORY AUTHORITIES FEDERATION

MINUTES OF MEETING BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Saturday, April 22, 2017

Fairmont Château Laurier Hotel, 1 Rideau Street, Ottawa, ON

The 27th meeting of the Canadian Dental Regulatory Authorities Federation took place on Saturday, April 22, 2017.

PARTICIPANTS:

Dr. Don Anderson, President, College of Dental Surgeons of British Columbia
Dr. Nancy Auyeung, Treasurer, CDRAF/Delegate, Manitoba Dental Association
Dr. Chris Baker, Chair, Provincial Dental Board of Nova Scotia
Dr. Mintoo Basahti, President-Elect, Alberta Dental Association and College
Dr. Randall Croutze, Registrar, Alberta Dental Association and College
Dr. Catherine Dale, President, Manitoba Dental Association
Dr. Barry Dolman, President, L'Ordre des dentistes du Québec
Mr. Irwin Fefergrad, Registrar, Royal College of Dental Surgeons of Ontario
Dr. Véronique Gagnon, Board member, L'Ordre des dentistes du Québec
Dr. Martin Gillis, Registrar, Provincial Dental Board of Nova Scotia
Dr. Allan Graas, President, Alberta Dental Association and College
Dr. Louie Kriel, President, College of Dental Surgeons of Saskatchewan
Dr. Guy Lafrance, Vice-President, L'Ordre des dentistes du Québec
Mr. Dan Leger, Registrar, New Brunswick Dental Society
Mr. Jerome Marburg, Registrar, College of Dental Surgeons of British Columbia
Dr. Brian Rinehart, President, CDRAF/Delegate, New Brunswick Dental Society
Dr. David Segal, Council member, Royal College of Dental Surgeons of Ontario
Dr. Cliff Swanlund, Vice-President, CDRAF/Delegate, Alberta Dental Association & College
Dr. Gordon Thompson, Delegate, Alberta Dental Association & College
Dr. David Tobias, Past-President, College of Dental Surgeons of British Columbia
Dr. Flavio Turchet, Vice-President, Royal College of Dental Surgeons of Ontario
Dr. Ray Wenn, Registrar, Dental Council of Prince Edward Island
Dr. Bernie White, Registrar, College of Dental Surgeons of Saskatchewan
Dr. Marcel Van Woensel, Registrar, Manitoba Dental Association
Dr. Ronald Yarascavitch, President, Royal College of Dental Surgeons of Ontario

STAFF:

Dr. Diane Legault, CDRAF Executive Director
Ms. Angie Sherban, CDRAF Executive Assistant

REGRETS:

Ms. Caroline Daoust, Registrar, L'Ordre des dentistes du Québec
Dr. Paul O'Brien, Registrar, Newfoundland and Labrador Dental Board

1. CALL TO ORDER

Dr. Swanlund called the meeting to order 8:35 a.m. and welcomed CDRAF Board members.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

There was a request to add "October Board meeting" to the agenda and the agenda was adopted, as amended.

MOTION: Graas/Wenn

THAT the agenda for the CDRAF Board meeting of April 22, 2017 be adopted, as amended.

CARRIED
(Unanimously)

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

There were no revisions made to the draft minutes of the CDRAF Board meeting of October 14, 2016 and they were adopted, as circulated.

MOTION: Dolman/Graas

THAT the minutes of the CDRAF Board meeting of October 14, 2016 be adopted, as circulated.

CARRIED
(Unanimously)

4. PRESIDENT'S REMARKS

Dr. Swanlund thanked the CDRAF Management Group for its efforts and participation in meetings. He also thanked staff for their assistance, particularly in coordinating the Zoom format for meetings which had proved to be very productive and cost-effective.

He looked forward to a full and fruitful discussion to develop a new CDRAF, bearing in mind the key mandate of working in the interest of the public.

5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REMARKS

Dr. Legault welcomed Board members to the meeting.

She reported that since the October 2016 Board meeting, she met with many of the stakeholders. There have been discussions with Frédéric Duguay (Commission on Dental Accreditation of Canada), Dr. Ed Yen (Association of Canadian Faculties of Dentistry) and Dr. Jack Gerrow (National Dental Examining Board of Canada) on moving forward with designing a common entry-level competency document that would satisfy all organizations.

She also met with Dr. James Taylor, the Chief Dental Officer of Canada. Dr. Taylor would like to adopt a statement of how the CDRAF can participate and be visible in the public eye regarding the opioid crisis.

She continues to receive requests from internationally-trained applicants, but did not notice an increase in numbers from the U.S. following the Presidential election in November 2016.

The CDRAF Management Group has been using Zoom for its recent meetings and she felt this might be a beneficial way to continue for future meetings.

6. CDRAF FINANCIALS AND OPERATIONS

6.1 Approval of 2016 Audited Financial Statements

Dr. Ayeung presented the 2016 audited financial statements for adoption by the CDRAF Board. She noted that Deloitte was satisfied that the financial statements presented the financial position of CDRAF fairly and did not report any irregularities.

There was a comment raised by a Board member on the surplus funds at year-end of \$125,000 and how they would be used. Dr. Ayeung advised that under the proposed strategic plan there will likely be projects being undertaken in the future and the surplus funds will be used for these initiatives.

MOTION: Ayeung/Dolman

THAT the CDRAF Board approves the draft audited financial statements, as at December 31, 2016, as presented at Appendix B of the Board materials.

CARRIED
(Unanimously)

6.2 Reappointment of 2017 Auditors

It was recommended that Deloitte LLP be reappointed as the auditors for 2017. There was no discussion.

MOTION:

THAT the CDRAF Board approves the reappointment of Deloitte LLP as its auditors for 2017.

CARRIED
(Unanimously)

6.3 Fund Balance vs. Budget Report

The CDRAF Board was provided with the Fund Balance vs. Budget Report of March 31, 2017. A typographical error was noted and it was confirmed that the actual Fund Balance should be at March 31, 2017, and not at

February 28, 2017 as was shown. The amendment will be made on the materials posted on the CDRAF website.

6.4 Fund Balance Report and Balance Sheet

The Fund Balance Report and Balance Sheet of December 31, 2016 was provided to the CDRAF Board. Dr. Legault stated that since the report was produced on March 31, 2017, CDRAF fees for 2017 have been received from the College of Dental Surgeons of British and Columbia and the New Brunswick Dental Board. She confirmed that all 2017 dues have been received.

Dr. Auyeung reported that on the Balance Sheet there are two banking institutions listed. CDRAF is making a transition from the CIBC to RBC to do its business and this should be completed by the end of April 2017.

Using Zoom technology for CDRAF Management Group meetings has been beneficial in that travel and hotel costs have been reduced.

Dr. Legault brought to the CDRAF Board's attention that since the last iteration of CDRAF by-laws was passed, CDRAF Management Group members have continued to be reimbursed by CDRAF for their expenses to attend meetings. However, it was noted that the budget for 2016 and 2017 had budget lines to allow for these expenses.

Dr. Legault asked the CDRAF Board for its direction to continue this practice until the budget for 2018 is drafted. She added that the CDRAF Board may wish to consider amending the current by-laws at this time; however, because the strategic plan is being put in place it is likely that there will also be other by-law changes to make and she suggested all the revisions be made at the same time.

The CDRAF Board was in agreement to continue reimbursing the CDRAF Management Group with its meeting expenses, and it was noted that these are being reduced quite dramatically by using Zoom as a platform for meetings.

6.5 Renewal of Liability Insurance

Dr. Legault reported that the Directors' and Officers' liability insurance for CDRAF has been renewed with MedThree Insurance Group. Other insurers were scanned and competitive quotations were received.

The liability limit for the Board and staff members is maintained at \$5,000,000, the deductibles will increase (\$5,000 per claim) as will the premium from \$1,850 to \$3,360 per year.

Dr. Legault confirmed that domestic partners (spouses) are also covered under the policy. She was asked to find out about exemptions of the Insurance policy, such as "defamation" and report back to the CDRAF Board.

7. CDRAF STRATEGIC PLANNING

Ms. Brenda Fair was introduced as the facilitator for this item.

Ms. Fair reported on the meetings held with the CDRAF Steering Committee and the final report. She reviewed the four strategic initiatives that are identified in the strategic plan and suggested that different groups of people be delegated to deal with each initiative.

- Competency Standards – suggested that one group of people review and develop national competency standards.
- Governance – suggested that the current governance structure be streamlined and by-laws will need to be restructured to comply with a new model.
- Relationships – continue to form alliances and partnerships with other stakeholders and key organizations to help achieve goals.
- Trends and Special Topics – key issues need to be identified and prioritized.

The CDRAF Board discussed the draft strategic plan for the next three years that was presented. There was a recommendation by the CDRAF Management Group for a working group to be struck to review the CDRAF Strategic Initiative on Governance and report back to the CDRAF Board. There was general consensus amongst the Board members that this was

not necessary and that governance should be discussed at this time during the meeting.

Ms. Fair reminded the CDRAF Board that the Steering Committee had completed its mandate by drafting the strategic plan and bringing a report to the CDRAF Board. At this time, the strategic plan required to be approved and then there could be further discussion on the initiatives proposed in the strategic plan.

It was recommended and agreed that “Forum” be replaced with “CDRAF” on pages 8 and 10 of the draft strategic plan.

MOTION: Tobias/Rinehart

THAT the CDRAF Board approves the Strategic Plan for 2017-2020, as amended, and presented at Appendix C of the Board materials.

CARRIED
(Unanimously)

8. GOVERNANCE

Dr. Swanlund referred the CDRAF Board to his written message in Appendix D of the meeting materials regarding Governance. The Steering Committee proposed the following:

- The CDRAF Board be comprised of Registrars (Registrars’ Council – RC) meeting 4 times/year. One vote/province.
- The concept of a General Assembly (GA) meeting annually - the 10 provinces being the stakeholders. Powers of the GA would be for the following: approval of the budget/by-laws/strategic plan and ED appointment. Voting privileges of the stakeholders to be determined.
- There was a suggestion that this GA could be the preamble of an educational session which topic would be tied to a CDRAF ongoing project. Bringing added value to all participants.
- A spokesperson chosen within the Board (based on expertise on the issue raised or appointed in turns - to determine). A mechanism to react to “emergency” situations is planned to be defined in another CDRAF strategic goal.

Dr. Swanlund stated that the CDRAF Management Group made the following recommendation, using parameters of the model proposed by the Steering Committee, for the Board's consideration:

THAT a Working Group be established to explore the CDRAF Strategic Initiative on Governance.

There was a full discussion on this recommendation. Ms. Fair asked each province for its feedback on the Steering Committee's suggestions:

British Columbia

- Concerned about elected members being excluded.
- Would prefer 2 General Assembly meetings a year.
- Would support on a trial basis, but with at least 2 General Assembly meetings.

Alberta

- Likes the new direction of CDRAF.
- Concerned with 1 General Assembly meeting per year, would prefer 2.
- Need continuity.
- Need to have elected members more involved for the organization to grow.

Saskatchewan

- Agrees there has to be a change in governance.
- Supportive of the proposals.
- Likes that Registrars report to the General Assembly (same model used in Saskatchewan).

Manitoba

- Will support consensus of the group and make the proposed model work.

Ontario

- In support of the proposed model.
- In support of opening Registrars' meetings to elected members for those who wish to attend.

- Appreciates the time and effort put into the proposals.

Québec

- Does not support the proposed model.
- CDRAF Board should be comprised of registrars and elected members.
- Elected members need to be more involved.
- Authority for decision-making should be given to the Board. All power should not be given to staff during the year.
- Model not transparent enough.
- Registrars' meetings should be open to elected members to attend if they wish.
- Registrars do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the provincial Boards, e.g. Québec Board does not like Registrar to have as much input.

New Brunswick

- In complete support of the proposed model.
- New governance structure has to be put in place.
- Regular teleconference calls are important.
- It is a good idea to have Registrars meet as the Board. Registrars are more knowledgeable on regulatory matters and have statutory authority within their provinces.
- No consistency with elected member turnover.
- Need better communication.

Nova Scotia

- This model was discussed and approved by the PDBNS at its April/17 meeting.
- We have trust in our Registrars to work in the best interest of the public.

Prince Edward Island

- In full support and like the model.
- PEI will make the proposed model work.

Newfoundland and Labrador

- No representative in attendance at the meeting.

Following a fulsome discussion, there was agreement, in principle, to the following:

- One General Assembly (GA) meeting per year. The 10 provinces will be the members.
- The GA would have the authority to approve the budget, by-law amendments, strategic plan, the Executive Director's appointment and to appoint the auditor.
- The Chair for meetings will be selected on a rotation basis from west to east.
- Registrars' Council (RC) would meet four times a year and elected members invited to attend if they wish.
- The Executive Director will attend RC meetings as ex-officio.
- Chair to be selected by RC.

It was understood that the proposed model would need to be approved by each province's Board/Council.

MOTION: Basahti/Graas

THAT the CDRAF Board adopts the model of governance, in principle, as presented at the April 22, 2017 meeting.

CARRIED
(Unanimously)

Dr. Legault suggested that a 'Transitional Team' be put in place to look at by-law revisions in order to implement the proposed model. Mr. Marburg, Mr. Fefergrad and Mr. Leger offered to be part of this team. It was agreed that Dr. Cliff Swanlund would also participate, together with Dr. Legault.

The team would put together a report for the Board's feedback and make necessary revisions to the by-laws for approval at the October 2017 Board meeting.

9. WELLNESS CONFERENCE

Dr. Legault reviewed the briefing note on this item with the CDRAF Board. She reported that at the 2016 CEO/Registrars' Conference, it was

suggested that a follow-up to the 2012 Wellness Conference be arranged in a joint effort between the CDRAF and the Canadian Dental Association (CDA).

The suggestion was that the CDRAF and CDA would co-host the event in conjunction with the April 2018 Board meetings, and that it would also be organized by CDSPI. CDSPI has offered to sponsor the conference and cover the related costs (i.e. speaker engagements, meeting space and meals, resources, etc.) CDA and CDRAF would not be required to make any financial commitment.

The 2012 Wellness Conference was a great success, but it was emphasized that this event would have a different program.

The CDRAF Management Group recommended that CDRAF co-chair a follow-up Wellness Conference with CDA, as follows:

MOTION:

THAT the CDRAF Board approves CDRAF being a co-chair at the Wellness Conference v2.0 with the Canadian Dental Association (CDA) in April 2018.

Some of the CDRAF Board members were not in favour of co-hosting a conference with CDA and CDSPI. It was felt that it would not be looked on favourably by those provinces with a Fairness Commissioner.

However, a suggestion was made that CDRAF hold an educational session on Wellness as part of a future Board meeting. It was agreed that it is important to help members with wellness issues, as well as protecting the public.

The motion was withdrawn.

10. ENGLISH PROFICIENCY ASSESSMENT

The CDRAF Board discussed the importance of dentists being proficient in the language of dentistry, in either English or French. It has been

noticed that many applicants who are internationally-trained are challenged with language issues resulting in bad communication and informed consent issues with patients.

It was agreed to request the National Dental Examining Board of Canada (NDEB) to develop a proposal for CDRAF's consideration with regard to Occupation-Specific Language Assessments in English and in French. NDEB raised this issue at the October 14, 2016 Board meeting and CDRAF was asked for feedback on how this could be resolved.

MOTION: Dolman/Baker
THAT the CDRAF Board requests the National Dental Examining Board of Canada (NDEB) to develop a proposition for CDRAF with regard to Occupation-Specific Language Assessments (in both languages).

CARRIED
(Unanimously)

11. OPIOID CRISIS: CDRAF PUBLIC COMMITMENT

Dr. Segal was asked to report on the National Opioid Conference that he and Dr. Legault attended in Ottawa in November 2016 on behalf of the CDRAF.

He reported that The Honourable Jane Philpott, Federal Minister of Health, and the Honourable Eric Hoskins, Ontario Minister of Health and Long-Term Care, co-hosted the Conference. Attending participants from dentistry included Dr. David Mock, Dr. David Segal, Dr. Larry Levin, Dr. Paul Allison, Dentist-in-Chief, Dr. James Taylor, and Dr. Michael Gardner (RCDSO).

Other attendees came from various backgrounds: researchers, educators, members of different levels of government, patients or drugs users, families, human rights lawyers, physicians (emergency, detox), coroners, nurses, police, border officers and others. He found it to be a very interesting and engaging conference. He added that it is important for dentistry to have a national presence at these events and to show that the issues are understood. The Federal Government needs to be assured that we are involved and educating dentists in each jurisdiction.

On the following day, there was a National Summit at which time organizations were asked to make a joint statement. A copy of the Royal College of Dental Surgeons of Ontario's joint statement was provided to the CDRAF Board in the meeting materials, together with joint statements later made by the Royal College of Dentist of Canada and the Canadian Dental Association. The RCDSO undertook to review Narcotics Monitoring System data for opioid prescriptions by dentists and dental specialists for the calendar year 2016 and compare it to data received for the calendar year 2014 to assess the impact of the College's guidelines on the role of opioids. Mr. Fefergrad agreed to share the results with the other provinces for information.

Mr. Fefergrad stated that government views dentists as being the second largest prescriber of opioids despite the fact that data shows dentists being very responsible in their prescribing practices. It is important for CDRAF as a national group to be seen as caring and being involved.

Dr. Legault reported on the 2017 Draft Recommendations for Use of Opioids in Chronic Non-Cancer Pain published by Dr. Jason W. Busse of the National Pain Center, McMaster University. CDRAF was invited to provide feedback on the document and this will become a standard for opioid use.

The CDRAF Management Group recommended that all provinces be encouraged to disseminate the Canadian Guideline for Safe and Effective Use of Opioids for Chronic Non-Cancer Pain, when it is officially released. It was suggested that when the document is ready, Dr. Segal and Dr. David Mock be asked to prepare a synopsis of the information for members.

MOTION: Basahti/Rinehart

THAT the CDRAF Board encourage its members to disseminate the Canadian Guideline for Safe and Effective Use of Opioids for Chronic Non-Cancer Pain, published by the National Pain Center at McMaster University.

CARRIED
(Unanimously)

12. PGY1 UPDATE

Dr. Legault reported that Dr. Swanlund signed the Agreement with other stakeholders in the PGY1 initiative: National Dental Examining Board of Canada, the Commission on Dental Accreditation and the Association of Canadian Faculties of Dentistry, and CDRAF will be paying its share of the fee (\$5,000) in due course.

Dr. Bernie White will be the CDRAF representative on the working group and will keep the Board apprised of progress in this work. The final report is expected by September 2018.

13. SPECIALTY RECOGNITION UPDATE

Mr. Marburg reported that British Columbia would like to have the specialty of dental anaesthesia recognized in the province. The Board of the CDSBC is aware of the challenges experienced in the past with dental anaesthesia being recognized nationally. It has asked that those challenges be addressed this year, given the lack of access to care by a certain segment of the population, for example, developmentally compromised patients, seniors, patients who require general anaesthesia.

There was discussion on how Ontario was able to get the specialty recognized in Ontario and how it arranged the examinations through a U.S. examining Board.

It was reported that Mr. Marburg and Dr. Van Woensel are looking at ways to address the issue.

14. SMILEDIRECTCLUB

A memorandum from the President of the Canadian Association of Orthodontists (CAO) dated March 31, 2017 was brought to the attention of the CDRAF Board for information and discussion.

The memo provided information on a U.S. direct-to-consumer company named SmileDirectClub (SDC). The company provides online orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. The CAO asked provincial DRA's to

create and support existing legislation to protect the public and members in the utilization of this direct-to-consumer orthodontic treatment.

There was discussion by the CDRAF Board and it did not feel it was an issue for CDRAF to involve itself in. It was reported that in Ontario advertising for this type of treatment is being looked at as part of an overall advertising review.

15. CETA/EU

Dr. Legault reminded the CDRAF Board that at the October 2016 meeting, the following motion was made and approved:

THAT a delegation of three, when possible, consisting of the CDRAF President, CDRAF Executive Director, Dr. Peter Trainor, plus one other resource person who would be beneficial to a specific meeting, present the Brief to government representatives.

Dr. Peter Trainor resigned as Chair of the CDRAF Commission in February 2017 and it was recommended that an amendment be made to the motion made in October, as follows:

MOTION: Tobias/Yarascavitch

THAT the General Assembly (CDRAF Board) directs the Registrars' Council (RC) to advance the briefing document forward and report back.

CARRIED
(Unanimously)

16. ROYAL COLLEGE OF DENTISTS OF CANADA (RCDC)

16.1 Bi-Lateral Agreement Update

Dr. Legault reported on the meetings that have been held with members of the RCDC to discuss parameters for a future Service Agreement between RCDC and the individual provinces. A draft agreement was

written and provided to RCDC for its feedback which should be received in due course.

At the last Registrars' meeting held in Toronto on October 7, 2016, it was agreed to form a working group comprised of Jerome Marburg, Dr. Marcel Van Woensel, Dr. Martin Gillis, Caroline Daoust and Irwin Fefergrad, to continue working to build this initiative. Dr. Legault added that this task is a difficult one because Fairness Commissioners of the provinces have different requirements and expectations. All provinces are committed to give their full efforts to achieve success.

Mr. Fefergrad reported that although every effort is being made to work collaboratively with RCDC, alternative examining bodies may need to be explored in order to satisfy the requirements of the Fairness Commissioners.

16.2 CDRAF Representative to RCDC

Currently, Dr. Gordon Thompson is the CDRAF representative on the RCDC Education Committee. With the retirement of Dr. Thompson, there was discussion on a replacement from CDRAF to fill the vacancy. It was noted that there are now two representatives from each province on the RCDC Education Committee and, therefore, it is not necessary to have representation from CDRAF now.

17. CEO/REGISTRARS' 2017 CONFERENCE REPORT

Dr. Legault reported that she attended the CEO/Registrars' 2017 Conference in December. She found the education sessions beneficial with presentations from Dr. Sutherland, Vice-Dean of the University of Saskatchewan, who presented on accommodation of individuals for examination, and a speaker from the American Dental Association.

There was no meeting held for only the registrars during this time as they did not all attend the conference.

As the new Executive Director of CDRAF, Dr. Legault had the opportunity to meet with all the stakeholders.

She reported that she received an invitation to attend the 2018 CEO/Registrars' Conference being hosted by the New Brunswick Dental Society. In discussion with the CDRAF Management Group about her participation, she reported that she would like to participate in the education session of the conference electronically. Dr. James Taylor attended the 2016 conference via videoconference which proved to work well.

18. TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION AT THE REQUEST OF PROVINCES

18.1 Collaboration on Central Repository Agreement

Dr. Van Woensel reported on efforts to develop an agreement with NDEB to establish a central credential verification and document repository for general practitioners.

He stated that he and Dr. Legault met with NDEB representatives to discuss the proposed draft agreement based on comments provided by the Registrars. Some administrative issues were identified and Dr. Van Woensel advised that he would be sending out a message to the Registrars asking for further information in order to complete the agreement. He thanked them for their support in this initiative.

19. INVITATIONS TO ATTEND

Dr. Legault reported on the following events that CDRAF has been invited to attend:

- (a) Association of Canadian Faculties of Dentistry Biennial Conference, June 12-14, 2017, Quebec City – Dr. Legault will attend.
- (b) Federation of Canadian Dentistry Student Associations Annual General Meeting, June 13-14, 2017, Quebec City – Dr. Legault to attend.
- (c) Canadian Centre for Substance Abuse conference, November 13, 2017, Calgary – Dr. Swanlund to tentatively attend, depending on the content of the agenda.
- (d) Canadian Dental Association Future of Dentistry initiative, November 2017, Toronto – Dr. Swanlund to attend.

(e) Royal College of Dentists of Canada, Component II of the National Dental Specialty Examination, June 16-26, 2017, Toronto – Dr. Legault to attend on June 19.

Dr. Legault and Dr. Swanlund were asked to report back to the CDRAF Board on the meetings they attended.

There was discussion on establishing a protocol for participating in conferences.

20. FOR INFORMATION

The following material was provided to the CDRAF Board for its information:

- (a) RCDSO Correspondence
- (b) NDEB Annual Report
- (c) CCSA Report to Canadian Youth Perception On Cannabis
- (d) Manitoba Dental Assistants' Association
- (e) Minutes, Management Group, January 6, 2017
- (f) Notes of Board Teleconference Meeting, November 24, 2016
- (g) Notes of Board Teleconference Meeting, March 2, 2017

21. NEXT MEETING

There was discussion on holding a CDRAF Board meeting in the fall and it was agreed to proceed with arrangements for a one-day meeting on Friday, October 13, 2017 in Montreal. At that meeting there will be by-law amendments to be approved and an education session for the Board.

Dr. Swanlund thanked Dr. Auyeung and Dr. Dolman for their assistance on the CDRAF Management Group. It was agreed that during the transition period of CDRAF, Dr. Swanlund will continue as Chair.

Dr. Thompson was wished well in his retirement and this was also the last meeting for Dr. Rinehart as the delegate for the New Brunswick Dental Society. Dr. Thompson and Dr. Rinehart were thanked for their work on CDRAF over the years.

22. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:45 p.m.